

Message

From: Richards, Jon M. [Richards.Jon@epa.gov]
Sent: 7/29/2021 6:23:40 PM
To: Amoroso, Cathy [Amoroso.Cathy@epa.gov]; Froede, Carl [Froede.Carl@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: EMDF ROD Statement - TDEC Question...

Arar dose should be defined even if just []
Its probably the 25 mrem nrc dose

From: Amoroso, Cathy <Amoroso.Cathy@epa.gov>
Sent: Thursday, July 29, 2021 2:21 PM
To: Richards, Jon M. <Richards.Jon@epa.gov>; Froede, Carl <Froede.Carl@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: EMDF ROD Statement - TDEC Question...

Well the statement is not clear. "ARAA dose" is a confusing term.

From: Richards, Jon M. <Richards.Jon@epa.gov>
Sent: Thursday, July 29, 2021 2:19 PM
To: Froede, Carl <Froede.Carl@epa.gov>; Amoroso, Cathy <Amoroso.Cathy@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: EMDF ROD Statement - TDEC Question...

Yes that's just saying the 10-5 risk from WAC is below or within our risk range of which 12 mrem is at the top of the risk range, or 3 E-4 risk per the '97 guidance they quote
And the 12/20 wheeler memo is for the discharges not the WAC

Jon Richards
Regional Radiation Expert & RPM
US EPA R4, SEMD
Richards.jon@epa.gov
404-431-1340

From: Froede, Carl <Froede.Carl@epa.gov>
Sent: Thursday, July 29, 2021 2:04 PM
To: Amoroso, Cathy <Amoroso.Cathy@epa.gov>; Richards, Jon M. <Richards.Jon@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: EMDF ROD Statement - TDEC Question...
Importance: High

Cathy/Jon:

Brad points out a ROD statement below that originally didn't bother me but now has me second guessing. Jon, I know that I have not received your comments on the EMDF ROD but could you please weigh in on this specific issue so that I can respond to Brad? Cathy, you are welcomed to weigh in too if you like. I will be out tomorrow but back on Monday.

Thanks for your help,

Carl

From: Brad Stephenson <Brad.Stephenson@tn.gov>

Sent: Thursday, July 29, 2021 1:16 PM

To: Froede, Carl <Froede.Carl@epa.gov>

Subject: EMDF ROD Statement

Carl,

As we review the ROD (slowly so far in my case), I want to make sure EPA evaluates this statement on p. 2-42:

The inventory (WAC) limits are the maximum values allowed per the ARAR dose for protection of the public, which has been deemed protective under CERCLA by EPA.

The associated footnote says:

EPA Administrator, *Dispute Resolution Decision on radiological discharge limits for the Oak Ridge Reservation*, December 31, 2020.

Franklin Hill, EPA Region 4 Superfund Division Director, *Regional Response to NRRB [National Remedy Review Board] Comments and Recommendations Oak Ridge Reservation Superfund Site*, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, April 19, 2018.

EPA Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response, *Establishment of Cleanup Levels for CERCLA Sites with Radioactive Contamination*, OSWER No. 9200.4-18, August 22, 1997.

I welcome any feedback you can share on this point. At a minimum, I want to make sure EPA evaluates the statement in case a comment is warranted.

Thanks, and have a good weekend.



J. Brad Stephenson PG | Geologist/Environmental Consultant

Division of Remediation / Oak Ridge Office

761 Emory Valley Road, Oak Ridge, TN 37830

p. 865-220-6587

Brad.Stephenson@tn.gov

<http://www.tn.gov/environment>

Had a recent experience with TDEC?

Please take a few minutes to complete our [survey](#).